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ABSTRACT

Plant products have been tested as insecticides against mosquitoes as they are promising candidates to replace conventional insecticides. This study was carried out to evaluate the larvicidal potential of ethanol extract of the aerial parts of *Diplazium esculentum* against *Anopheles gambiae* and *Culex quinquefasciatus*. Ethanol extract of the aerial parts of *D. esculentum* was screened for its phytochemical constituents and used for larvicidal assay. A stock solution of the extract (5 g in
INTRODUCTION

Mosquitoes are fragile, insects belonging to the family Culicidae. They are among the best known groups of insects because of their medical importance to man as vectors of some of the most deadly human diseases. Diseases such as malaria, lymphatic filariasis, viral encephalitis, dengue, yellow fever and zika are all vectored by different species of mosquitoes [1].

Anopheles mosquitoes are important vectors of malaria and lymphatic filariasis (LF), which are major public health diseases in Africa. Anopheles gambiae species complex has been implicated as an efficient vector of these diseases in the Afrotropical region. Culex species are reported to be the most widespread mosquito species across the world [2]. They are known to be highly opportunistic, feeding on both humans and animals, a behaviour which increases their potential to transmit zoonotic diseases and makes them important threat to public health [3]. Culex quinquefasciatus species are known to cause nuisance, they also transmit diseases such as lymphatic filariasis, Japanese and Saint Louis encephalitis, Rift valley fever and West Nile Virus [1].

Malaria and lymphatic filariasis have been leading causes of death and long term disability in Nigeria. Annually, Nigeria contributes about 81,640 malaria deaths which accounts for 19% of global deaths and 45% of deaths in West Africa [4]. This devastating disease affects the country’s economic productivity, resulting in an estimated monetary loss of approximately 132 billion naira in treatment cost, prevention and other indirect costs [5]. Also, Nigeria has a significant burden of lymphatic filariasis caused by the parasite Wuchereria bancrofti. Nigeria with an estimated population of 186 million people is Africa’s most endemic country with approximately 80 to 120 million people at risk of lymphatic filariasis [6]. The disease is prevalent and widespread in the six geo-political zones of the country [7]. The socio-economic and psychological burden of the disease is enormous, these include direct cost of treatment and losses resulting from incapacitation and loss of labour [7].

Over the years, the use of a number of synthetic insecticides in mosquito control programme has been limited due to enormous challenges such as increasing insecticide resistance, toxic effect on human health and other non-target organisms, high cost of synthetic insecticides, environmental persistence, higher rate of biological accumulation etc. These challenges have resulted in the urge to search for environmentally sustainable, biodegradable, affordable and target specific insecticides against mosquito species. Consequently, the application of environment friendly alternatives has become the main focus of the control programme to replace synthetic insecticides [8,9]. One of the most effective alternative approaches under the environment friendly control programme is to utilize botanicals as insecticides as they are safer, simple to use, affordable and sustainable.

Diplazium esculentum (Retz) Sw, is an edible fern found throughout Asia and Oceania and has been introduced in other continents like Africa. The plant also occurs widely and commonly throughout India, China, Cambodia, Laos,
2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Collection and Identification of Plant Material

The experimental plant (D. esculentum) was collected from the botanical garden of the Department of Botany and Ecological Studies, University of Uyo, located at the main campus of the University along Nwaniba road, Uyo, Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria. The plant was authenticated by a taxonomist in the Department of Botany and Ecological Studies, University of Uyo, Uyo. Herbarium specimen with voucher number (James UUH4057) was prepared and deposited in the same department for future referencing.

2.2 Processing of Plant Material

The aerial parts of the plant were thoroughly washed and shade dried on laboratory tables for 21 days. They were thereafter pulverized with manual grinder and weighed.

2.3 Extraction

The extraction process was carried out in the laboratory of the Department of Pharmacognosy and Natural medicine, University of Uyo, Nigeria. The pulverized plant material (350 g) was extracted by maceration (cold extraction) at room temperature (27± 2°C) using 70% ethanol for 72 hours with intermittent stirring using a glass rod. This was followed by filtration using muslin cloth, filter funnel, Whatman No 1 filter paper and non-absorbent cotton wool as described by Ubulom et al. [13]. This method was used in order to completely get rid of any marc in the filtrate. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo at 40°C using a rotary evaporator. The dried extract obtained was weighed and percentage yield determined. Dried extract was stored in a refrigerator at 4°C prior to further studies.

2.4 Phytochemical Screening

The qualitative screening was carried out on the ethanol extract of Diplazium esculentum aerial part according to standard methods of Sofowara [14] and Evans [15]. This was done to identify the classes of bioactive compounds present. Phytochemical constituents screened were Alkaloids, Anthraquinones (free and combined), Cardiac glycosides, Flavonoids, Saponins and Tannins.

2.5 Larvicidal Assay

Larvicidal assays reported in this study were carried out in the Malaria Vector Research Laboratory and Insectary of the Department of Animal and Environmental Biology, University of Uyo, Uyo, Nigeria. Third instar larvae of An. gambiae and Cx. quinquefasciatus were obtained from the Insectary of the Malaria Vector Research laboratory, of the Department of Animal and Environmental Biology, University of Uyo. The method used for the bioassay followed the guidelines of WHO, [16]. The stock solution was prepared by adding 100 ml of tap water to 5 g of extract and mixed thoroughly. Heat was applied to the stock solution of the extract over a water bath at a temperature of 40°C for 2 minutes, after which the solution was removed...
and left for 30 minutes to cool before assays were carried out as described by Ubulom et al. [9]. This was to reactivate the phytoconstituents from possible inactivation due to refrigeration. From the stock solution, graded concentrations were prepared to obtain 0.45, 0.60, 0.75, 0.90 and 1.05% w/v of the extract in a final formulation of 100 ml. Twenty (20) third instar larvae of *Anopheles gambiae* and *Culex quinquefasciatus* were separatedly transferred to small disposable test cups containing larval nutrient. The larval nutrient was a pinch of pulverized Quaker oat added to each assay cup. The mosquito larvae were exposed to five concentrations (0.45, 0.69, 0.75, 0.90 and 1.05% w/v) of the extract and were assessed for their larvicidal activity. Three replicates were set up for each concentration. There was a control experiment which consisted of 20 third instar larvae each of *Anopheles gambiae* and *Culex quinquefasciatus* separately immersed in 100 ml of tap water to which larval nutrient only was added and mortality was recorded after 24 and 48 hours exposure period. The larvae were considered dead when they failed to move and did not respond to stimulus with a Pasteur pipette.

2.6 Data Analysis

Data obtained from this research were statistically analyzed and presented as means and standard error. The median lethal concentration (LC_{50}) in each case, determined by Probit analysis, as described by Finney, [17]. Microsoft excel version 2013 was used for analysis of data.

3. RESULTS

3.1 Percentage Yield and Phytochemical Constituents of the Extract of *D. esculentum*

The Percentage yield of the ethanol extract of the aerial parts of *D. esculentum* was 5.90%. The phytochemical screening of crude ethanol extract of *D. esculentum* aerial parts revealed the presence of alkaloids, anthraquinones (free and combined), cardiac glycosides, flavonoids, saponins and tannins (Table 1).

3.2 Larvicidal Effect of Ethanol Extract of *D. esculentum* on *An. gambiae* and *Cx. quinquefasciatus*

Findings from the present study revealed that the crude ethanol extract of the aerial parts of *D. esculentum* tested against third instar larvae of *An. gambiae* and *Cx. quinquefasciatus* had larvicidal effect. Mortality increased in both mosquito species as the concentration of the extract increased. The percentage mortality of the extract of *D. esculentum* aerial parts against *An. gambiae* and *Cx. quinquefasciatus* larvae are presented in Tables 2 and 3 respectively. The extract was more potent against *An. gambiae* larvae. Even the least concentration (0.45% w/v) of the extract resulted in a 100% mortality of *An. gambiae* larvae, after 48 hours exposure period (Table 2). Exposure of larvae of *Cx. quinquefasciatus* to the highest concentration (1.05% w/v) resulted in mortality of 53.33%, after an exposure period of 48 hours (Table 3).

3.3 Median Lethal Concentration (LC_{50}) of the Extract

The 24 hour LC_{50} values of the extract were 0.355 and 2.468 for *An. gambiae* and *Cx. quinquefasciatus* respectively (Table 4). Thus, *An. gambiae* larvae were more susceptible to the crude ethanol extract of the aerial parts of *D. esculentum*, as judged by the 24 h LC_{50} value. The 48 h LC_{50} value of the extract for *Cx. quinquefasciatus* larvae was 1.269% w/v.

4. DISCUSSION

The yield (5.90%) of the ethanol extract of *D. esculentum* was low compared with the amount of pulverized sample. This was due to the fact that the cold extraction method was used. Maceration has been reported to result in low yield but has the advantage of preserving the thermostable components of the plant [18]. The present study has shown the larvicidal potential of *D. esculentum* against 3rd instar larvae of *An. gambiae* and *Cx. quinquefasciatus* mosquitoes. Some of the phytochemicals detected in the aerial parts of *D. esculentum* have been reported to have good larvicidal efficacy. The larvicidal potency of alkaloids, saponins, flavonoids and tannins have been reported [19-22]. Thus, the larvicidal effect of *D. esculentum* reported in this study is attributable to the presence of these bioactive compounds. These compounds may have acted jointly or independently to contribute to larval mortality. This was substantiated by the fact that no mortality was recorded in the control experiments as the larvae were still agile and actively wriggling. These findings are in agreement with previous reports of Ubulom et al. [13], Opara et al. [8] and Ubulom et al. [9]. They all reported the efficacy of plants extracts against different mosquito species.
Table 1. Phytochemical constituents of ethanol extract of Diplazium esculentum

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phytochemical Compound</th>
<th>Test Method</th>
<th>Inference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alkaloids</td>
<td>(i) Dragendorff’s test</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(ii) Meyer’s test</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthraquinones</td>
<td>(i) Test for combined anthraquinones</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(ii) Test for free anthraquinones</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac glycosides</td>
<td>(i) Salkowski’s test</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(ii) Keller-Killiani test</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flavonoids</td>
<td>(i) Magnesium metal test</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(ii) Sodium hydroxide test</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(iii) Ammonia test</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saponins</td>
<td>(i) Frothing test</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(ii) Sodium bicarbonate test</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tannins</td>
<td>(i) Ferric chloride test</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key: + = present; - = absent

Table 2. Larvicidal activity of ethanol extract of D. esculentum on Anopheles gambiae

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conc. (%w/v)</th>
<th>Number of larvae introduced</th>
<th>Percentage mortality 24h exposure period Mean ± S.E</th>
<th>Percentage mortality 48h exposure period Mean ± S.E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>73.33 ± 1.333</td>
<td>100 ± 0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>83.33 ± 3.333</td>
<td>100 ± 0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>90.00 ± 1.154</td>
<td>100 ± 0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>100 ± 0.000</td>
<td>100 ± 0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>100 ± 0.000</td>
<td>100 ± 0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control (100 ml of water)</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.000</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No. of larvae per replicate = 20, No. of replicates = 3, S.E = Standard Error

Table 3. Larvicidal activity of ethanol extract of D. esculentum on Culex quinquefasciatus

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conc. (%w/v)</th>
<th>Number of larvae introduced</th>
<th>Percentage mortality 24h exposure period Mean ± S.E</th>
<th>Percentage mortality 48h exposure period Mean ± S.E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>3.33 ± 0.333</td>
<td>13.35 ± 2.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>13.33 ± 0.333</td>
<td>33.33 ± 6.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>16.67 ± 0.333</td>
<td>50.00 ± 10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>16.67 ± 0.333</td>
<td>53.33 ± 10.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>20.00 ± 0.000</td>
<td>53.33 ± 10.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control (100 ml of water)</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.000</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No. of larvae per replicate = 20, No. of replicates = 3, S.E = Standard Error

Table 4. The 24h LC50 value of ethanol extract of the aerial Parts of D. esculentum

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mosquito species</th>
<th>LC50 Value (%w/v)</th>
<th>95% Confidence interval (%w/v)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>An. gambiae</td>
<td>0.355</td>
<td>0.064 – 0.0046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cq. quinquefasciatus</td>
<td>2.468</td>
<td>0.392 – 0.171</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Interestingly, An. gambiae larvae were more susceptible to ethanol extracts of D. esculentum aerial parts than Cq. quinquefasciatus. The difference in susceptibility could be attributed to the difference in the physiological characteristics between the two species of mosquito [23]. The
higher susceptibility of An. gambiae to the ethanol extract of D. esculentum aerial parts was as judged by the 24hLC50 value of 0.355% w/v while for Cx. quinquefasciatus it was 2.468% w/v. The 48hLC50 value for Cx. quinquefasciatus was 1.269%w/v demonstrating a higher tolerance to the extract than An. gambiae. Several studies have reported Anopheles mosquito larvae to be more susceptible to plant extracts than mosquitoes from other genera. For example, Govindarajan et al. [24], found out that Anopheles stephensi was more susceptible (LC50 61.65 μg/mL) to Origanum scabrum essential oil than Ae. aegypti (LC50 67.13 μg/mL), Cx. quinquefasciatus (LC50 72.45 μg/mL), and Culex tritaeniorhynchus (LC50 78.87 μg/mL). Similarly, Veni et al. [25], reported Anopheles stephensi to be more susceptible to Terminalia chebula extract than Ae. aegypti and Cx. quinquefasciatus, with LC50 values of 87.13, 93.24, and 111.98 ppm, respectively.

Syed et al. [26] reported that the efficacy of plant extracts against mosquitoes may vary depending on plant species, plant parts, age of plant, solvent used in extraction and mosquito species tested. This may have also accounted for the variation in the susceptibility of the mosquito species to the extract. The plant extract exhibited a concentration dependent activity against the two mosquito species tested. Mortality was observed to increase with increased concentration and period of exposure. This corroborates the reports of Ubulom et al. [13], Opara et al. [8], Iqbal et al. [27] and Ubulom et al. [9] who all reported a concentration dependent activity of various plant extracts against different mosquito species.

5. CONCLUSION

Over the years, there has been increased interest in the control of insect vectors using natural products such as plant derived products. The findings from this study have emphasized the need to explore the possibility of using plant-based larvicides as supplementary measures to mosquito control in order to reduce the chemical burden on the environment and human health. D. esculentum is a plant well known for its nutritive and medicinal values. Results from the present study reveals that the ethanol extract of the aerial parts of D. esculentum have larvicidal effect on An. gambiae and Cx. quinquefasciatus, with An. gambiae being more susceptible to the plant extract than Cx. quinquefasciatus. Consequently, the ethanol extract of the aerial parts of D. esculentum should be further investigated for the isolation and characterization of its active principle for industrial applicability.

CONSENT

It is not applicable.

ETHICAL APPROVAL

It is not applicable.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Authors appreciate the technical assistance received from the Laboratory staff of the departments of Pharmacognosy and Natural Medicine, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Uyo and Animal and Environmental Biology, University of Uyo, Nigeria.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

6. Elkanah OS, Swemwu TC, Elkanah DS, Madara AA, Kela SL, Samaila AB, Bingbeng JB, Ishuwa MN. Status of


© 2020 Umohata et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/55668