Relationship of the Self-perception of Lifestyle with Level of Physical Activity in People with and without Type 2 Diabetes
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ABSTRACT

Objective: To determine the relationship of the perception of lifestyle with the level of physical activity in people with type 2 diabetes and without type 2 diabetes.

Study Design: Analytical cross-sectional observational study in Celaya, Guanajuato, Mexico.

Methodology: Sample composed of 100 people with type 2 diabetes and 100 people without type 2 diabetes, the lifestyle questionnaire and IPAQ questionnaire were used. Descriptive statistics

*Corresponding author: Email: padillawarm@gmail.com, raygosan@ugto.mx;
were calculated for sociodemographic variables; it was calculated Chi-square test and Odds Ratio. To demonstrate the statistical significance of results, the value of P was set at .05. Statistical analysis was performed in STATA 13.0®.

**Results:** In patients with Type 2 Diabetes predominated females, married, who never went school and elementary and BMI ≥ 25 kg/m²; among patients without Type 2 Diabetes, predominant males, singles, went school or university, BMI ≥25 kg/m²; no relationship was found between lifestyle perception and level of physical activity in adults with type 2 diabetes (X² = 0.0022 gl 1 P = .96) neither it was found a significant relationship between lifestyle perception and level of physical activity in adults without type 2 diabetes (X² = 5.23 gl 1 P = .02 RM = 2.85 95% CI = 0.80 to 10.4).

**Conclusion:** The results show that self-perception of lifestyle and physical activity is different in people with less age, more schooling, males.
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1. **INTRODUCTION**

Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2D) is a chronic degenerative disease with a prolonged latency period that represents a burden for health services, for the patient, the family, the community and the country [1]. T2D is a metabolic alteration of carbohydrates, with deficit in the production or release of insulin, increasing blood sugar levels [2]. This chronic disease and its complications are one of the main causes of death in Mexico, only surpassed by cardiovascular diseases for 2017, with a total of 75,637 deaths [3] and with more than 331.13 new cases per 100,000 population at year [4].

According to the Official Mexican Standard for the prevention, treatment and control of diabetes, people at risk of developing diabetes are considered to be those who are overweight / obese, sedentary, first-degree relatives with diabetes, age equal to or greater than 65 years, women with a history of pregnancies with macrosomic products or with gestational diabetes [5].

The lifestyle is defined as the perception that an individual has of his place in existence, in the context of the culture and the value system in which he lives and concerning, his objectives, his expectations, his norms, his concerns [6]. Despite the benefits of a healthy lifestyle for both, the control of T2D or to delay its occurrence in those with risk factors, many of them do not maintain a healthy lifestyle [7]. Health can be affected by lifestyle and living conditions. The lifestyle includes attitudes and values, which are expressed in the behaviour of the individual in different areas of life, including physical activity, food, the use of alcoholic beverages, the use of cigarettes, the excessive alcohol intake and the management of sexuality, as well as the social, physical, cultural, and economic aspects that impact people's lives [8]. Currently, physical activity is anybody movement produced by skeletal muscles that requires energy expenditure [9].

The limited physical activity that exists in the lifestyles of society has manifested the importance of performing some sport, becoming a social necessity to reduce risks of presenting degenerative chronic diseases such as diabetes, thus having the opportunity to maintain a healthy lifestyle in people with T2D [8].

The offspring of people with T2D, share the same environment, the same culture, maybe the same meals, the same attitude on physical activity, that people with the disease.

The main objective of the research was to determine the relationship of the perception of lifestyle with level of physical activity in people with T2D and their offspring without T2D.

2. **METHODOLOGY**

2.1 Study Design

Cross-sectional, observational, analytic.

2.2 Study Setting

Mutual Assistance Group (MAG) of Celaya, Guanajuato, Mexico, with registered people with T2D, and one of their offspring.

2.3 Sampling

Patients with T2D registered in MAG in Celaya were 186 and of them, 12 did not attend to the session of the group; from 174 patients were
selected using a random number from Epidat 4.2, 2016 (Xunta de Galicia, OPS, OMS, Universidad CES), like simple random sampling. From each patient, we ask the number of offspring, and by drawing, it was selected one of their offspring.

### 2.4 Selection of Participants

The inclusion criteria for people with T2D were all persons with T2D registered in the MAG of Celaya, Mexico, adults who voluntarily agree to participate in the study, signing the informed consent, whether male or female. The exclusion criteria were subjects with T2D, hospitalized or who do not agree to participate in the study.

The inclusion criteria for people without T2D were offspring for people with T2D registered in the MAG of Celaya, Mexico, with 18 years of age or older, who voluntarily agree to participate in the study, signing the informed consent, whether male or female. The exclusion criteria were the offspring of people with T2D who have not agreed to participate.

### 2.5 Variables

#### 2.5.1 Sociodemographic

Age, gender, civil status, weight, height, Body Mass Index (BMI).

#### 2.5.2 Independent

Self-perception of lifestyle. Dichotomous categorical variable, which can be called habits in terms of physical activity, food, smoking, alcohol consumption; its unhealthy measurement scale is 0-39 points and healthy 40-78 points measured with the lifestyle perception questionnaire [10]; It is summarized with frequencies and percentages.

#### 2.5.3 Dependent

Physical activity level Ordinal categorical variable; It is defined as any bodily movement produced by skeletal muscles that require energy expenditure; it is measured with the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ) [11], with mild categories with 0 to 599 METS /min/week, moderate/vigorous with 600 or more METS /min/week; It is summarized with frequencies and percentages.

### 2.6 Data Collection Instruments

The lifestyle perception questionnaire was used, which consists of 78 items with a dichotomous answer of YES or No; it is dichotomized in unhealthy from 0 to 39 points and healthy from 40 to 78 points; It has a reliability of 0.9 Kappa intraobserver and 0.89 Kappa interobserver. For the physical activity, the IPAQ short version in Spanish was used with questions of vigorous, moderate activities and walking as well as sitting in the last seven days; the result is transformed to METS / minute week; It has a Kappa reliability of 0.89 [12].

### 2.7 Procedures

Participants were explained the objectives of the study, as well as the advantages and disadvantages of participating. They were asked to sign the informed consent. After doing so, we proceeded to administer the lifestyle perception and the IPAQ questionnaires, and measure anthropometry.

### 2.8 Sample Size

Assuming that there is a ratio of 9 among those with an unhealthy and healthy lifestyle, expecting 75% to be perceived with an unhealthy lifestyle and 50% with a healthy lifestyle, the minimum sample size is 37 with a perception of Unhealthy lifestyle and 331 with perception of healthy lifestyle, with 95% accuracy and 80% power (Epi Info, 7.1.3.0, 2013, CDC, Atlanta, GA, USA).

### 2.9 Statistical Analysis

Descriptive statistics were used for the sociodemographic variables. To show a relationship between perception of lifestyle and level of physical activity, a Chi-square test and P-value, Odds Ratio (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) were calculated. To demonstrate the statistical significance of the results, the value of P was set at .05. Statistical analysis was performed in STATA 13.0® (Stata Corp., College Station, TX, USA).

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The sample consisted of 100 individuals with type 2 diabetes (T2D) and 100 people without T2D, where they named female persons with T2D (70%) and men without T2D (74%), individuals with marital status married to T2D
(67%) and single without T2D (45%), people with no schooling with T2D (28%) and high school-university without T2D (25%), adults with a body mass index (BMI) greater than 25 kg/m² with T2D (81%) and greater than 25 kg/m² without T2D (75%). It was found that people with T2D have a higher BMI with a range of 19.39-58.59 kg / m² and although the BMI range in people without T2D is lower, BMI is still high with a range of 17.44-47.63 kg / m² (Table 1).

In the MAG the mild level of physical activity predominates in people with T2D (74.00%) and mild in people without T2D (60.00%) and the perception of a healthy lifestyle in people with T2D is 96.00% and healthy in people without T2D is 85.00% (Table 2).

In adults with T2D of MAG Celaya, there was no relationship between lifestyle perception and physical activity, P = 0.96 (Table 3).

In the MAG there is a significant relationship between the perception of lifestyle and level of physical activity in adults without T2D obtaining a P-value less than 0.05, marking that people who have a level of mild physical activity, have 2.85 times more likely to have non-healthy lifestyle (OR = 2.85), although the 95% CI includes 1 and it is not significative (Table 4).

Table 1. Distribution of categorical sociodemographic variables by group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Subjects with T2D</th>
<th>Subjects without T2D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>f (%)</td>
<td>f (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>70.00</td>
<td>26.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>30.00</td>
<td>74.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civil Status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>13.00</td>
<td>45.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>67.00</td>
<td>38.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divorced</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Separate</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widowed</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>12.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free Union</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schooling</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nothing</td>
<td>28.00</td>
<td>16.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elementary</td>
<td>27.00</td>
<td>13.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary</td>
<td>19.00</td>
<td>18.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High School</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postgraduate</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;25 kg/m²</td>
<td>81.00</td>
<td>75.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;25 kg/m²</td>
<td>19.00</td>
<td>25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>56.12 ±10.26</td>
<td>34.94 ±12.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (m)</td>
<td>74.70 ± 16.60</td>
<td>71.87 ± 16.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Mass Index (kg/m²)</td>
<td>29.13 ± 5.48</td>
<td>27.96 ± 4.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

T2D Type 2 Diabetes

Table 2. Distribution of study variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>With T2D</th>
<th>Without T2D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>f</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>74.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate/Vigorous</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifestyle perception</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-healthy</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>96.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

T2D Type 2 Diabetes
Table 3. Distribution of lifestyle perception and level of physical activity in people with type 2 diabetes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Physical activity level</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>Moderate/Vigorous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifestyle perception</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-healthy</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>75.00</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>73.96</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[
X^2 = 0.0022 \text{ df } 1 \text{ } P = .96
\]

Table 4. Distribution of lifestyle perception and level of physical activity in people without type 2 diabetes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Physical activity level</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>Moderate/Vigorous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifestyle perception</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-healthy</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>86.67</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>55.29</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[
X^2 = 5.23 \text{ df } 1 \text{ } P = .02 \text{ OR} = 2.85 \text{ 95%CI} = 0.80 \text{ to } 10.4
\]

The sociodemographic characteristics from our samples are different; in subjects with T2D predominated the females, married, with nothing or elementary school; in their offspring, without T2D, predominated the males, with high school, singles (Table 1). In our sample, we found there is not relationship between the self-perception of lifestyle and level of physical activity among patients with T2D but there is a relationship between these variables in people without T2D.

In the investigation, by Cantú Martínez, the population with T2D had a prevalence of female gender (72.3%), marital status, married (69.2%), with primary schooling completed (49.2); being similar to the sample data in the MAG Celaya [13]. Piñón et al., in their research with people without T2D obtained a prevalence of the female gender (71.9%), with a finished high school education (64.5%); being similar to the results obtained in the MAG Celaya [14].

Piñón et al., agree with the data obtained in the MAG since in their study a low level of physical activity predominates with 48.8% in people without T2D [14].

According to Cantú Martínez, in his study conducted in 2015 in various Urban Health Centers of the Metropolitan Area of Monterrey, México, with a sample of 65 people with T2D, he tells us that 29.23% considered having a "good lifestyle", percentage which corresponded to a "healthy" classification, while the highest concentration has an inadequate lifestyle (70.77%), with two classifications of the participants; then, 56.92% is characterized by "moderately healthy" behaviour and only 13.85% of these were classified as "unhealthy". A significant correlation was detected between the evaluated lifestyle and the dimensions considered, except with the emotional state [13].

Regarding the physical activity carried out by the patients in the study, the inquiries showed that 20% have a "good lifestyle" and a "healthy" classification, however, 80% show an "inappropriate lifestyle" , which is reflected in 47.6% "moderately healthy "; 20% is "unhealthy" and 12.3% "unhealthy". There is an average of 53 and a variation of 25, in the average lifestyle, considered "moderately healthy" for this population [13]. Considering different results with the research in celaya, mexico, since there is no relationship between perception of lifestyle and level of physical activity in adults with T2D.

Piñón et al., In their study, conducted in 2015 with participants of a program of healthy habits and lifestyles in the municipality of popayán colombia, with a sample of 217 participants without T2D, with respect to the level of physical activity measured with iPAQ , the study reports a general prevalence of 3.22% around the performance of vigorous physical activity; with an average of 181 minutes / week in terms of moderate physical activity the prevalence was 15.1%, 223 minutes / week; the general prevalence of low physical activity was 70.3% in which subjects who performed less than three days of moderate activity were classified, having a significant relationship between the perception of lifestyle and level of physical activity [14]. Therefore, this study yielded the same results to
this research, marking a relationship between lifestyle perception and level of physical activity in adults without T2D. In a study with 150 people with T2D, in India, 60% had BMI greater than 25 kg/m² and reported that only 8% did some type of exercise or walked at least 4 days a week; 58% ate more than 3 times a day but only once a week [15], which is considered a healthy measure, eat five times a day but in smaller quantities. The population of Celaya with T2D, reported 74% of the participants doing mild physical activity. Yuing et al., In a meta-analysis show that physical activity, with monitoring and surveillance of patients with T2D, improves glycated hemoglobin levels [16]. It would be desirable that our patients with T2D perform more exercise with monitoring to improve their biochemical parameters and that their children who do not yet develop the disease, the exercise will probably cause their appearance to be delayed.

A disadvantage of the study, is that groups are not comparable, because the basal characteristics are different, but the data show differences in self-perception of lifestyle among the people with T2D and their offspring, without T2D.

4. CONCLUSION

In the study carried out it was found that there is no relationship between the self-perception of lifestyle and the level of physical activity, in people with T2D, neither in their offspring. The differences could be because of more schooling or more awareness about the needed to live with a healthy lifestyle in people more younger. It is needed to increase the sample size to obtain a significative relationship.
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